

<div>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE PROFILE</div> <div>INTERIM REPORT: (REPORT PERIOD: FROM:_____ TO:_____)</div> <div>FINAL REPORT</div>

I. CONTRACT IDENTIFICATION	
<div>CONTRACTOR NAME:</div> <div>ADDRESS:</div>	<div>PROJECT TITLE:</div> <div>PROJECT LOCATION:</div>
<div>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</div>	

<div>CONTRACT NUMBER:</div>	<div>AWARD DATE:</div> <div>CONTRACT DUE DATE:</div> <div>ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE:</div>
<div>SOLICITATION METHOD:</div> <div>SEALED BID</div> <div>NEGOTIATED</div>	<div>CONTRACT AWARD AMOUNT:</div> <div>CUMULATIVE TOTAL TO DATE:</div> <div>FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT:</div>
<div>TYPE OF CONTRACT:</div> <div>FIXED PRICE</div> <div>COST REIMBURSEMENT</div> <div>OTHER:</div>	

II. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION Enter a numeric rating for each category below: 1 = Poor; 2 = Fair; 3 = Good; 4 = Excellent; 5 = Outstanding. Detailed comments are required for each rating assigned. See scoring matrix attached.	
CATEGORY	RATING
A. QUALITY/TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE:	
B. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT:	
C. TIMELINESS:	
D. COST CONTROL (IF APPLICABLE):	
TOTAL SCORE (SUM OF SCORES FROM EACH CATEGORY)	
<div>E. WOULD YOU SELECT THIS FIRM AGAIN? Yes No</div> <div>PLEASE EXPLAIN:</div>	

III. EVALUATOR	
NAME:	OFFICE:
TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO:
SIGNATURE:	E-MAIL ADDRESS:
DATE:	

IV. CONTRACTOR REVIEW (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTOR)	
CONTRACTOR NAME: ADDRESS:	PHONE NO. FAX NO. E-MAIL ADDRESS
" I AGREE WITH THE RATING ASSIGNED " I DISAGREE WITH THE RATING ASSIGNED (PROVIDE EXPLANATION BELOW):	
SIGNATURE: DATE:	

V. FINAL AGENCY RATING AND REVIEW (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTING OFFICER)			
FINAL RATING			
QUALITY/- TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE	CONTRACT MANAGEMENT	TIMELINESS	COST CONTROL
MEAN SCORE (SUM OF SCORES DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF CATEGORIES EVALUATED)			
CONTRACTING OFFICER NAME: SIGNATURE:		PHONE NUMBER: FAX NUMBER:	
DATE:		E-MAIL ADDRESS:	
AGENCY OFFICIAL REVIEW: (AN AGENCY OFFICIAL ONE LEVEL ABOVE THE CONTRACTING OFFICER MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION IF THE CONDITIONS IN FAR 42.1503 APPLY).			
AGENCY OFFICIAL NAME: TITLE: SIGNATURE:		PHONE NUMBER: FAX NUMBER:	
DATE:		E-MAIL ADDRESS:	
RELEASE OF INFORMATION SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - Disclosure of the information contained on this form is subject to the policy prescribed in FAR Part 3.104-4 and 42.1503(b). Form 1510-60 (June 1997)			

**APPENDIX: SCORING MATRIX
(FORM 1510-60 and 1510-60A)**

Use the following matrix to score each of the rating areas in Section II (BLM form 1510-60 & 60(a), and Section V(BLM form 1510-60). Ensure these scores are consistent with any other Agency assessments made; (e.g. for payment of fee purposes).

AREA	A. QUALITY/ TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE	B. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT	C. TIMELINESS	D. COST CONTROL
	-Contractor QA program -Amount of Government contract administration -Conformance to contract requirements	-Labor compliance -Safety -Reasonable and cooperative -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of problems -Pro-active -Effective contractor recommended solutions	-Adherence to schedules -Submittals -Milestones met	-Forecasting and controlling costs.
RATING	*****	*****	*****	*****
1=Poor	Nonconformances have major impact on achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is not effective and responsive	Major or minor frequent delays	Cost issues have major impact on achievement of contract requirements
2=Fair	Nonconformances have minor impact on achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive	Minor delays	Cost issues have minor impact on achievement of contract requirements
3=Good (Meets requirements)	Contract requirements achieved, standards met	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive	Schedules met	Expenditures are within budget
4=Excellent	Exceeds standards expected	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is effective and responsive	Schedules met or exceeded	Cost savings realized
5=Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in any of the above five categories that justifies adding a point to the score. Used only when contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".			